

Correlation Analysis of the Impact of GDP Development and Urbanization Level on the Brazilian Tropical Rainforest

Haoyun Yang

University College London, London, UK

ZCAKLSH@ucl.ac.uk

Abstract. The Amazon rainforest in Brazil is one of the most ecologically valuable regions in the world, but it is now facing a severe threat from human activities. To formulate effective sustainable development policies, it is essential to have a deep understanding of how economic development measured by GDP growth and the urbanization process affect the deforestation rate. This paper adopts descriptive analysis methods, combining existing research results and secondary data, to explore the complex and dynamic relationship between these two and deforestation. The research results show that the growth of GDP, especially the economic expansion relying on agricultural and mineral exports, is significantly positively correlated with deforestation in the short term and is one of the main direct driving factors leading to forest loss. Meanwhile, the impact of urbanization is dual: on the one hand, it indirectly increases ecological pressure by promoting resource consumption; On the other hand, with the economic structural transformation brought about by urbanization and the enhancement of public environmental awareness, it may also promote forest protection in the long term. These impacts are not fixed but depend on policy choices, governance levels and whether the decoupling of economic growth from environmental damage can be achieved. A thorough understanding of these dynamic processes is of great significance for Brazil and even the world in addressing climate change and protecting biodiversity.

Keywords: Amazon deforestation, economic development, urbanization, environmental policy, Brazil.

1. Introduction

1.1. Research Background

Global climate and biodiversity depend on the health of tropical rainforests; the still standing Brazilian Amazon being the largest intact tropical rainforest plays a vital role in storing carbon, regulating the climate, and conserving biodiversity [1]; In fact, it holds several billion tons of carbon and influences rainfall systems that impact agriculture and water supplies throughout South America. Since the second half of last century, Brazil's rapid economic development and urbanization progress have placed great stress on such a fragile ecosystem that led to massive deforestation and forest degradation [2, 3]. Not only does deforestation threaten indigenous people who depend on the forest for living and maintaining cultural traditions, but it is also highly associated with illegal logging activities, land disputes, and agricultural extension [4]. All the above serve as two sides of a socially environmental coin. Meanwhile, it has been demonstrated from various perspectives that deforestation is greatly responsible for the increase in the rate of global greenhouse gas emissions, hence, securing Amazon's integrity provides benefits to humans from both nature and humanity-based standpoints.

1.2. Research Objectives and Significance

This paper looks into the relationship between GDP growth, urbanization and deforestation within the Brazilian Amazon. The principal purpose is to see: 1. Identify the direct and indirect ways GDP growth affects the rainforest; 2. Explore the dual and sometimes contradictory role of urbanization; 3. Summarize results for discussion on pathways for sustainable development. 4. The research tries to figure out some real reasons behind deforestation, and its drivers which could be crucial for policymakers, world organizations or even people of society so that they can make wise decisions for

both economic development and environmental protection [5, 6]. Also, the research may determine what forces effect short-terms actions and result long-term ones, revealing all those kinds of changes impact both on economics and environment.

1.3. Research Method

The present paper, built primarily on the literature review and descriptive analyses of the information from governmental as well as non-governmental reports of different times and resources such as Inpe, IPCC, WRI, and many others. It helps to describe the linkages between GDP growth, urbanization and deforestation. The descriptive approach finds some interlinkages within each section while complementing case study-based illustrations with suitable qualitative inputs from descriptive knowledge.

1.4. Research Structure

The paper reviews the adverse direct effects of GDP-deriving agricultural and infrastructural expansion as well as dual-functioning urbanization with its dual impacts. It explains the interrelations between them and how governance and policies affect outcomes and draws synthesis and conclusions on how to enhance sustainable development in the Amazon.

2. Direct Drivers: GDP Growth and Deforestation

2.1. Agricultural Expansion as the Main Driver

Soybean farming and cattle ranching are the main direct causes of deforestation [2,3]. Brazil's GDP growth strategy, heavily reliant on agricultural exports, encourages converting forest land into farmland and pastures. Global demand for Brazilian beef and soy links international markets directly to forest clearing [7, 8]. Government policies also play a role. Subsidies, tax breaks, and infrastructure investments make clearing forests profitable. Weak enforcement in remote areas reduces the risk of illegal deforestation, further encouraging land conversion. Studies show that regions with new roads experience much higher forest loss, highlighting the connection between economic growth and deforestation [9].

2.2. Infrastructure Development and Mining

Economic growth often drives large infrastructure projects. Roads like BR-163 open remote forest areas to settlers, loggers, and speculators[3, 9]. Mining and hydroelectric projects cause immediate deforestation and long-term ecological fragmentation [1, 2]. Not only does the installation of infrastructure remove forests from landscapes, and create habitat barriers, it affects water systems, leading to increased human interference in wild spaces; mining—especially for gold and iron—in particular causes mercury poisoning and siltation, damaging wild spaces and communities [1].

2.3. Boom-and-Bust Pattern

(EKC)with commodity prices driving growth from the primary sector often leading to sharp increases in deforestation, this “boom-and-bust”cycle can show a short term positive relationship between GDP growth and deforestation [3, 5]. However, there exists an Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) relating to a positive environmental effect seen during earlier stages of growth giving way to negative environmental pressures as economies transition towards more output- rather than resource-heavy industries [10].

3. The Dual Role of Urbanization: Pressure and Opportunity

3.1. Indirect Pressure from Urban Demand

Urbanization increases demand for resource-intensive items such as meat and timber, thus leading to increased deforestation frontier conversion [4, 9]. Urbanization increases the energy and mineral demands, justified through projects that promote destructive activities [11]. Cities attract rural workers, which can push migration to forest areas. Changing urban diets, with higher meat consumption, also increases pressure on forests.

3.2. Urbanization as a Potential Conservation Force

Time, urbanization benefits forest conservation, by moving economic activities away from land-intensive sectors to service sectors and manufacturing, allowing the two to decouple each other [2, 10]. Cities generate educated and aware people who can establish civil societies to promote stronger governance [4, 9]. Research in Pará State shows that urbanization's effect on deforestation varies across municipalities—some show a negative or U-shaped correlation, others positive [10]. Cities also serve as hubs for NGOs, research, and monitoring, improving enforcement of forest protections.

4. Synthesis: Interaction of Factors and the Role of Policy

4.1. Dynamic Interaction

GDP growth and urbanization interact rather than act independently. Wealth from agricultural exports can fuel urban consumption, creating feedback loops. The net effect depends on whether the destructive force of primary sector expansion or the protective potential of urbanized economies dominates [4][10]. Global markets matter too: higher soy and beef prices fuel further encroachment on forested land but consumers and urban-based activists can also step up to fight illegal deforestation, as the economic forces at play are closely connected with social ones.

4.2. Policy as a Key Mediator

The link is determined by policies, strong environmental laws, monitoring systems such as DETER under INPE and stringent land use regulations reduce the association between GDP and deforestation [2, 12], whereas poor protections exacerbate degradation [5, 6]. Sustainable development includes intensifying agriculture on present farmland, developing green economies based on forests and forestry, and adopting more sustainable urban plans [1,6]. Policies such as supporting agroforestry, reforestation and afforestation, or carbon credits may ensure the coupling of economic development with environmental protection. Reducing deforestation can lower smoke pollution from fires which in turn leads to improved public health [13].

5. Conclusion

This report indicates that there's a clear relationship, in the short- to medium-term, between GDP growth due to primary sectors and deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon. However, urbanization is a bit different as it tends to have an indirect effect but still plays a role in long-term conservation via economic and social reforms. Governance matters: a better-managed Brazil can reduce its impact on the Amazon by decoupling economic growth from environmental damage, using strong public institutions and policies and raising public engagement to make urbanization a push for Amazon forest protection. By marrying better economic planning with sound environmental stewardship Brazil can achieve its development objectives without further damaging the Amazon and gain the benefit of doing so for both the Brazilian and the world community.

References

- [1] Leal Filho, W., et al. (2025). Managing ecosystem services in the Brazilian Amazon. *Geoscience Letters*. <https://geoscienceletters.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s40562-025-00391-9>
- [2] da Silva, J. M. C., et al. (2017). The impact of deforestation, urbanization, public investments, agriculture, and state policies on temporal changes in human welfare. *Ecological Economics*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0264837716307256>
- [3] Stabile, M. C. C., et al. (2020). Solving Brazil's land use puzzle: Increasing production and slowing Amazon deforestation. *Land Use Policy*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0264837719309809>
- [4] Richards, P., & VanWey, L. (2015). Where Deforestation Leads to Urbanization: How Resource Extraction is Leading to Urban Growth in the Brazilian Amazon. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00045608.2015.1052337>
- [5] World Bank. (2023). Two sides of the same coin: Reviving Brazil's economy and curbing Amazon deforestation. *World Bank Blogs*. <https://blogs.worldbank.org/en/latinamerica/two-sides-same-coin-reviving-brazils-economy-and-curbing-amazon-deforestation>
- [6] WRI. (2023). Ending Deforestation in the Amazon Can Grow Brazil's GDP. *World Resources Institute*. <https://www.wri.org/insights/zero-amazon-deforestation-can-grow-brazil-gdp>
- [7] Norris, D. (2022). Cutting Down Trees Does not Build Prosperity. *Tropical Conservation Science*, 15(1). <https://bioone.org/journals/tropical-conservation-science/volume-15/issue-1/19400829221132193>
- [8] Chaves, W. A., et al. (2021). Urbanization and food transition in the Brazilian Amazon. *People and Nature*, 3(1), 10746. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10746>
- [9] Côrtes, J. C., et al. (2021). The Interface Between Deforestation and Urbanization in the Amazon. *Anais da Associação Brasileira de Estudos Populacionais*. <https://www.scielo.br/j/asoc/a/ns5jQPmxbPFdy79PckdGXvv>
- [10] Ribeiro, R. M., et al. (2020). An environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) spatial approach to urbanization and deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon. *Ecology and Society*, 27(2), 1. <https://ecologyandsociety.org/vol27/iss2/art1/>
- [11] Santos, Y. L. F., et al. (2022). Amazon deforestation and urban expansion: Simulating land-use/cover change to the end of the 21st century. *Journal of Environmental Management*. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0301479721023410>
- [12] Araujo, R., Possebom, V., & Setti, G. (2025). DETERring more than Deforestation: Environmental Enforcement Reduces Violence in the Amazon. *arXiv*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.06076>
- [13] Damm, Y., et al. (2024). Health benefits of reduced deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon. *Nature Sustainability*. <https://www.nature.com/articles/s43247-024-01840-7>